FOR MYSORE STATE

(1953) Department

G.O. No. 5 1/2

Date: 16 A 1953.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Qu[illegible]

[illegible]ning of advance increment

to [illegible] School teachers working

[illegible] Sanskrit

STARRED

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY, M.L.C.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

*Q.No. 148

Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that ~~kkx~~ one of the four increments given to the District Board Elementary School teachers of Coondapoor taluk, South Kanara District, in 1947 at the time of refixation of their scales of pay was withheld later by the South Kanara District Board; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor? ~~for such a step?~~

Legislature (Council Secretariat
7-2-1953.

Starred.

Sri G.Krishnamurthy, M.L.C.,
Education Department.

Education Dept.(C)

Q.No.148.

Draft Answer

Will the Hon. The Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that one of the four increments given to the District Board Elementary school teachers of Coondapoor Taluk, S.Kanara Dt. in 1947, at the time of refixation of their scales of pay was withheld later by the South Kanara Dt. Board; and

Clause (a): Yes.

It has since reported that the Teachers in Coondapur taluk were ~~getting~~ drawing time scales of pay under the taluk board prior to 1934 and that they are therefore eligible for four advanced increments; and the matter is under consideration.

20/3/53

25/8/53

28/3

1/64

20/8/53

(2)

Emw.m.8137-4/53.1

6/4/53

Note for Supplementaries

In the year 1947, the Govt ordered a general revision of the scales of pay of teachers under various managements. Instructions were also issued regarding the grant of advance increments in the revised scales of pay to teachers in elementary schools under local bodies, with reference to their service prior to 1947. Accordingly, the P.D.B., S.Kanara, granted advance increments to teachers (working under the Dt. Board) taking into account the service put up by them prior to 1947 and the teachers in the Cpondapoor Taluk were given 4 advance increments.

Subsequently, a question was raised as to whether in determining the grant of advance increments admissible to teachers in ele. mentary schools in the revised scales of pay, the service put in by them on fixed rates of pay should be taken into account. The Govt. ordered that the service put in by teachers in the time scales of pay alone should be taken int account for determining the advance increments due to teachers in the revised scales of pay and that service on fixed rates of pay should not be counted for the purposes of advance increments.

In the year 1949, an audit objection was raised by the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, to the effect, that the P.D.B., S.Kanar had taken into account, the services put in by teachers in fixed scales of pay also for the purpose of granting (advance) increments to teachers (in the revised scales of pay) as against Govt. Ord in G.O.No.541-Edn., dated 15-3-48, and that the pay of all the teachers should be revised. Hence, the President revised the pay of all the teachers in board ele. schools, excluding the services ~~of teachers~~ put in by them in fixed scales of pay under Taluk Boards prior to 1934, as a result of which the teachers who were under (certain) Taluk Boards inclusive of Coondapoor Taluk Board, were eligibl fm to get only 3 advance increments, as against 4 granted to them previously. The teachers in Coondappor Taluk were therefore given only three advance increments as against 4. On a representation received from the President, the Govt. ordered that the pay of the teachers might be refixed from ~~12-7~~ 12-7-50 and that the excess payment made to the teachers before that date might be ratified.

to revise the previous orders of the Govt. The Govt. agree with the view of the Examiner.

The P.D.E., South Kanara has now addressed the Govt. in the matter. He states that the teachers in Coondapoor and other two Taluks (Mangalore & Udipi) were getting ~~variant~~ regular scales of pay prior to 1934 and tha there is sufficient proof for this. The Examiner of Local Fund has bee consulted in the matter and his remarks are awaited. The question of reconsidering the previous orders in the matter will be examined in the light of the Examiner's remarks as soon as they are received.

Rdm
20/3/53

Rdm
20/3/53

11/3
25/3/53

QUESTION No. 148 for the next meeting of the Legislative Council
~~to be held on the~~ ~~195~~

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Education Department.

The question has been admitted.

Ro 19/2/53

19/2/53

19/2

To

The Education Department

L.O. No. 165/53 — 153 M.L.
— 20.2.53.

SECRETARIAT 19/2 Question

110 *Q.*—SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHI: Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that one of the four increments given to the District Board Elementary School teachers of Coondapoor taluk, South Kanara district in 1947, at the time of refixation of their scales of pay was withheld later by the South Kanara District Board; and

(*b*) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO:

(*b*) The Board Elementary School teachers in Coondapoor taluk were first granted four advance increments in the revised scales of pay, taking into account the service put in by them on fixed scales of pay under the taluk board, prior to 1934. As, under the orders of Government, the services of teachers in the time scale of pay alone should be taken into account for the purpose of advance increments and not the service on fixed scales of pay, the pay of the teachers was revised and under the revised orders the teachers in Coondapoor taluk are eligible only for three advance increments as against four increments originally granted to them. It has since been reported that the teachers in Coondapoor taluk were drawing time scales of pay under the taluk board prior to 1934 and that they are therefore eligible for four advance increments, and the matter is under examination by the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts and it will be decided after the receipt of his reply."

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHI:—" Sir, if, as the teachers have stated, they were on time scales of pay previous to 1934, will the Government give an assurance that they will be given the increment in question as it does not come under any audit objections? "